

1 KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP
Tobias S. Keller (#151445)
2 (tkeller@kbbkllp.com)
Peter J. Benvenutti (#60566)
3 (pbenvenutti@kbbkllp.com)
Jane Kim (#298192)
4 (jkim@kbbkllp.com)
650 California Street, Suite 1900
5 San Francisco, CA 94108
Tel: 415 496 6723
6 Fax: 650 636 9251

7 *Attorneys for Debtors and Reorganized Debtors*
8

9 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**
10 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
11 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

12 **In re:**

13 **PG&E CORPORATION,**

14 **- and -**

15 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC**
16 **COMPANY,**

17 **Debtors.**

- 18 ☐ Affects PG&E Corporation
19 ☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company
☒ Affects both Debtors

20 ** All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No.*
21 *19-30088 (DM).*

Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

Chapter 11

(Lead Case) (Jointly Administered)

REORGANIZED DEBTORS' FORTY-NINTH
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
(UNTIMELY NO LIABILITY /
PASSTHROUGH CLAIMS)

Response Deadline:
December 29, 2020, 4:00 p.m. (PT)

Hearing Information If Timely Response Made:

Date: January 12, 2021

Time: 10:00 a.m. (Pacific Time)

Place: (Telephonic Appearances Only)

United States Bankruptcy Court

Courtroom 17, 16th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94102

1 **TO: (A) THE HONORABLE DENNIS MONTALI, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY**
2 **JUDGE; (B) THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE; (C) THE AFFECTED**
3 **CLAIMANTS; AND (D) OTHER PARTIES ENTITLED TO NOTICE:**

4 PG&E Corporation (“**PG&E Corp.**”) and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the “**Utility**”), as
5 debtors and reorganized debtors (collectively, the “**Debtors**” or as reorganized pursuant to the Plan (as
6 defined below), the “**Reorganized Debtors**”) in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the “**Chapter 11**
7 **Cases**”) hereby submit this forty-ninth omnibus objection (the “**Objection**”) to the Proofs of Claim (as
8 defined below) identified in the column headed “Claims To Be Disallowed and Expunged” on **Exhibit**
9 **1** annexed hereto.

10 **I. JURISDICTION**

11 This Court has jurisdiction over this Objection under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; the *Order*
12 *Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges*, General Order 24 (N.D. Cal.); and
13 Rule 5011-1(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern
14 District of California (the “**Bankruptcy Local Rules**”). This matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28
15 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The
16 statutory predicates for the relief requested are section 502 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the
17 “**Bankruptcy Code**”) and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “**Bankruptcy**
18 **Rules**”).

19 **II. BACKGROUND**

20 On January 29, 2019 (the “**Petition Date**”), the Debtors commenced with the Court voluntary
21 cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Prior to the Effective Date (as defined below), the
22 Debtors continued to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession
23 pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner was appointed
24 in either of the Chapter 11 Cases. The Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered for procedural
25 purposes only pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

26 Additional information regarding the circumstances leading to the commencement of the Chapter
27 11 Cases and information regarding the Debtors’ businesses and capital structure is set forth in the
28 *Amended Declaration of Jason P. Wells in Support of the First Day Motions and Related Relief* [Docket
No. 263].

On July 1, 2019, the Court entered the *Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b)(9) and 105(a), Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 3003(c)(3), 5005, and 9007, and L.B.R. 3003-1 (I) Establishing Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim, (II) Establishing the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof, and (III) Approving Procedures for Providing Notice of Bar Date and Other Information to All Creditors and Potential Creditors* [Docket No. 2806] (the “**Bar Date Order**”). The Bar Date Order set the deadline to file all proofs of claim (each, a “**Proof of Claim**”) in respect of any prepetition claim (as defined in section 101(5) of the Bankruptcy Code), including all claims of Fire Claimants (as defined therein), Wildfire Subrogation Claimants (as defined therein), Governmental Units (as defined in section 101(27) of the Bankruptcy Code), and Customers, and for the avoidance of doubt, including all secured claims and priority claims, against either of the Debtors as October 21, 2019 at 5:00 p.m. Pacific Time (the “**Bar Date**”). The Bar Date later was extended solely with respect to unfiled, non-governmental Fire Claimants to December 31, 2019 [Docket No. 4672]¹; and subsequently with respect to certain claimants that purchased or acquired the Debtors’ publicly held debt and equity securities and may have claims against the Debtors for rescission or damages to April 16, 2020 [Docket No. 5943].

By Order dated June 20, 2020 [Dkt. No. 8053], the Bankruptcy Court confirmed the *Debtors’ and Shareholder Proponents’ Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization Dated June 19, 2020* (as may be further modified, amended or supplemented from time to time, and together with any exhibits or scheduled thereto, the “**Plan**”). The Effective Date of the Plan occurred on July 1, 2020 (the “**Effective Date**”). See Dkt. No. 8252.

III. RELIEF REQUESTED

The Reorganized Debtors file this Objection, pursuant to section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d)(4), Bankruptcy Local Rule 3007-1, and the *Order Approving (A) Procedures for Filing Omnibus Objections to Claims and (B) the Form and Manner of the Notice of Omnibus Objections*, dated June 30, 2020 [Docket No. 8228] (the “**Omnibus Objections Procedures Order**”), seeking entry of an order disallowing and/or expunging Proofs of Claim for which the Reorganized Debtors are not liable and that were filed after the Bar Date (the “**Untimely No Liability / Passthrough**”).

¹ The claims of Fire Claimants will be administered through the Fire Victim Trust and the claims of Wildfire Subrogation Claimants through the Subrogation Wildfire Trust in accordance with the Plan.

1 **Claims**”). After reviewing their books and records and the limited information submitted with the Proofs
2 of Claim, the Reorganized Debtors have determined that each of the Untimely No Liability / Passthrough
3 Claims either (i) asserts a Claim seeking payment of amounts for which the Reorganized Debtors are not
4 liable and/or the Reorganized Debtors are unable to determine any liability or basis for the asserted
5 Claims, or (ii) seeks payment of amounts that arose after the Petition Date that are not due and payable
6 and, to the extent allowed, will be paid in the ordinary course in accordance with Section 2.1 of the Plan.

7 The Untimely No Liability / Passthrough Claims are identified on **Exhibit 1**, in the columns
8 headed “Claims To Be Disallowed and Expunged.” **Exhibit 1** also specifically identifies in the “Basis
9 for Objection” that the Untimely No Liability / Passthrough Claims are classified as one of the following:

10 (1) Damage Not Caused by PG&E. These are Proofs of Claim where the Reorganized
11 Debtors, after reviewing their books and records and any information submitted in connection with the
12 Proofs of Claim, have determined that the harm or damage described in the Proof of Claim was not
13 caused by the Debtors. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors have determined they are not liable for
14 these amounts and the corresponding Proofs of Claim should be expunged.

15 (2) No Liability Claims. These Proofs of Claims provide no supporting documentation to
16 enable the Reorganized Debtors to understand the purported basis for liability and, after reviewing their
17 books and records, the Reorganized Debtors are unable to determine any liability or basis for the asserted
18 Claims. In all cases, the Reorganized Debtors’ Third-Party Claims Team contacted the Claimant either
19 by telephone or in writing for more information, and did not receive a response.

20 (3) Post-Petition Claims. These Proofs of Claim assert Claims for amounts that arose after
21 the Petition Date and, thus, do not represent prepetition liabilities of the Debtors subject to payment
22 through the chapter 11 claims process. Pursuant to Section 2.1 of the Plan, any Allowed Administrative
23 Expense Claim that is not due and payable prior to the Effective Date, shall be paid by the Debtors or
24 the Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, in the ordinary course of business, consistent with past practice
25 and in accordance with the terms and subject to the conditions of any orders or agreements governing,
26 instruments evidencing, or other documents establishing, such liabilities. Accordingly, there is nothing
27 for this Court to resolve with respect to any such Claims and any Proofs of Claim filed on account of
28

1 such Claims should be expunged and the surviving claims may be asserted and resolved in the ordinary
2 course of business.

3 (4) Rule 14 Claims. Certain of the Proofs of Claim assert amounts for food losses, business
4 losses, or wage losses. Pursuant to Pacific Gas and Electric Company Tariff Electric Rule 14 (“**Rule**
5 **14**”), approved by the California Public Utilities Commission, PG&E is required to exercise “reasonable
6 diligence and care to furnish and deliver a continuous and sufficient supply of electric energy to the
7 customer, but does not guarantee continuity or sufficiency of supply.” Rule 14 goes on to provide that
8 “PG&E will not be liable for interruption or shortage or insufficiency of supply, or any loss or damage
9 of any kind of character occasioned thereby, if same is caused by inevitable accident, act of God, fire,
10 strikes, riots, war, or any other cause except that arising from its failure to exercise reasonable diligence.”
11 Accordingly, the Rule 14 Claims assert amounts for which the Debtors are not liable and the
12 corresponding Proofs of Claim should be disallowed and expunged.

13 A significant subset of the Rule 14 Claims assert Claims for food losses, business losses, or wage
14 losses arising out of or relating to the Public Safety Power Shutoff (“**PSPS**”) program, which aims to
15 reduce wildfire risks. The PSPS program, which began in 2018, involves proactive power shutoffs
16 during extreme weather events (*e.g.*, strong winds, very low humidity levels, critically dry vegetation,
17 and other on-the-ground, real-time observations from field crews). In preparation for this safety
18 measure, the Utility contacts customers directly and provides early warning notification, when and where
19 possible, via automated calls, texts and emails. Under Rule 14, the Debtors are not liable for any losses
20 arising out of PSPS-related outages. Accordingly, the PSPS Claims assert amounts for which the
21 Debtors are not liable and the corresponding Proofs of Claim should be disallowed and expunged.

22 The CPUC requires that all Claims be treated equally. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors
23 are not permitted to pay any Rule 14 Claims without being required to pay *all* Rule 14 Claims. The same
24 is true for the Rule 16 Claims and Rule 2 Claims described below.

25 (5) Rule 16 Claims. Certain of the Proofs of Claim assert amounts for removal/trimming of
26 trees, overgrown vegetation impeding access to the Debtors’ facilities, and/or the consequences of
27 opening locked gates. Pursuant to Pacific Gas and Electric Company Tariff Electric Rule 16 (“**Rule**
28 **16**”), PG&E shall “at all times have the right to enter and leave Applicant's Premises for any purpose

1 connected with the furnishing of electric service (meter reading, inspection, testing, routine repairs,
2 replacement, maintenance, vegetation management, emergency work, etc.) and the exercise of any and
3 all rights secured to it by law, or under PG&E's tariff schedules." Accordingly, the Rule 16 Claims
4 assert amounts for which the Debtors are not liable and the corresponding Proofs of Claim should be
5 disallowed and expunged.

6 (6) Rule 2 Claims. Pacific Gas and Electric Company Tariff Electric Rule 2 ("Rule 2") sets
7 forth the general contours of the voltage provided to consumers. Rule 2(C)(1)(b) provides that voltage
8 may be outside the limits specified when the variations (i) arise from the temporary action of the
9 elements; (ii) are infrequent momentary fluctuations of a short duration; (iii) arise from service
10 interruptions; (iv) arise from temporary separation of parts of the system from the main system; or (v)
11 are from causes beyond the control of the Utility. Rule 2(E) states that customers are responsible for
12 furnishing, installing, inspecting, and keeping in good and safe condition, at their own risk and expense,
13 all protective devices required to protect customers' property. Rule 2(E) further provides that "PG&E
14 shall not be responsible for any loss or damage occasioned or caused by the negligence, or wrongful act
15 of the applicant or of any of his agents, employees or licensees in omitting, installing, maintaining, using,
16 operating or interfering with any such protective devices." Certain of the Proof of Claim assert amounts
17 for damages caused to electronics, appliances, pumps, and HVAC, which under Rule 2, the Debtors are
18 not liable and the corresponding Proofs of Claim should be disallowed and expunged.

19 (7) Statute of Limitations Passed. Certain of the Proofs of Claim assert Claims for which
20 California's three-year statute of limitations with respect to property damage (*see* Cal. Code Civ. P. §
21 338(c)(1)) expired before the Petition Date. Accordingly, the Debtors are not liable for such Claims and
22 the corresponding Proofs of Claim should be disallowed and expunged.

23 In addition, as indicated by the dates identified in the column headed "Date Filed" on Exhibit 1,
24 each of the Untimely No Liability Claims was filed after the General Bar Date (with no applicable
25 exception under the Bar Date Order that may render such Claim timely) and none of the Claimants have
26 sought or obtained relief from the Court pursuant to Rule 9006 of the Bankruptcy Rules to file a late
27 Proof of Claim. Accordingly, in addition to the basis set forth above, the Untimely No Liability Claims
28 should be expunged as untimely as well.

1 **IV. ARGUMENT**

2 **A. The Untimely No Liability / Passthrough Claims Should be**
3 **Disallowed and Expunged**

4 The Omnibus Objections Procedures Order supplemented Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) to permit
5 the Reorganized Debtors to file objections to more than one claim if “[t]he claims seek recovery of
6 amounts for which the Debtors are not liable.” Omnibus Objections Procedures Order, ¶2(C)(iii). The
7 Reorganized Debtors and their professionals have reviewed each of the No Liability / Passthrough
8 Claims identified on Exhibit 1 and have determined that each such Claim either (i) asserts a Claim
9 seeking payment of amounts for which the Reorganized Debtors are not liable and/or the Reorganized
10 Debtors are unable to determine any liability or basis for the asserted Claims, or (ii) seeks payment of
11 amounts that arose after the Petition Date that are not due and payable and, to the extent allowed, will
12 be paid in the ordinary course in accordance with Section 2.1 of the Plan. If not disallowed and expunged,
13 the Untimely No Liability / Passthrough Claims potentially could allow the applicable Claimants to
14 receive recoveries to which they are not entitled. Furthermore, as described above, the Reorganized
15 Debtors have established that each of the Untimely No Liability / Passthrough Claims is also untimely
16 under the Bar Date Order and should be expunged pursuant to section 502(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code.

17 Each of the Claimants is listed alphabetically, and the claim number and amount are identified
18 in accordance with Bankruptcy Rule 3007(e). Furthermore, in accordance with the Omnibus Objections
19 Procedures Order, the Reorganized Debtors have sent individualized notices to the holders of each of
20 the Untimely No Liability / Passthrough Claims.

21 **B. The Claimants Bear the Burden of Proof**

22 A filed proof of claim is “deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects.” 11 U.S.C.
23 § 502(a).² Section 502(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, however, provides in relevant part that a claim
24 may not be allowed if “such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under

25 ² On November 17, 2020, the Court entered the *Order Extending Deadline for the Reorganized Debtors*
26 *to Object to Claims* [Docket No. 9563], which extended the deadline under Section 7.1 of the Plan for
27 the Reorganized Debtors to bring objections to Claims through and including June 26, 2021 (except for
28 claims of the United States which deadline was extended to March 31, 2021), without prejudice to the
right of the Reorganized Debtors seek further extensions thereof.

any agreement or applicable law.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1). Once the objector raises “facts tending to defeat the claim by probative force equal to that of the allegations of the proofs of claim themselves,” *Wright v. Holm (In re Holm)*, 931 F.2d 620, 623 (9th Cir. 1991), quoting 3 L. King, *Collier on Bankruptcy* § 502.02 at 502-22 (15th ed. 1991), then “the burden reverts to the claimant to prove the validity of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence,” *Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage)* 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995) (quoting *In re Allegheny Int’l, Inc.*, 954 F.2d 167, 173-74 (3d Cir. 1992)), *aff’d without opinion* 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996). “[T]he ultimate burden of persuasion is always on the claimant.” *Holm*, 931 F.2d at 623 (quoting King, *Collier on Bankruptcy*); *see also Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc.*, 223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000), *Spencer v. Pugh (In re Pugh)*, 157 B.R. 898, 901 (BAP 9th Cir. 1993); *In re Fidelity Holding Co.*, 837 F.2d 696, 698 (5th Cir. 1988).

As set forth above, the Reorganized Debtors submit that the Untimely No Liability / Passthrough Claims do not represent a current right to payment and, in addition, each such Claim was untimely and filed after the passage of the General Bar Date. Therefore, the Untimely No Liability / Passthrough Claims should be disallowed and expunged in their entirety.³ If any Claimant believes that a Untimely No Liability / Passthrough Claim is valid or otherwise represents a current right to payment, it must present affirmative evidence demonstrating the validity of that Claim.

V. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

The Reorganized Debtors hereby reserve the right to object, as applicable, in the future to any of the Proofs of Claim listed in this Objection on any ground, and to amend, modify, or supplement this Objection to the extent an objection to a claim is not granted, and to file other objections to any proofs of claims filed in these cases, including, without limitation, objections as to the amounts asserted therein, or any other claims (filed or not) against the Debtors, regardless of whether such claims are subject to this Objection. A separate notice and hearing will be scheduled for any such objections. Should the grounds of objection specified herein be overruled or withdrawn, wholly or in part, the Reorganized

³ As noted above, Claims objected to on the basis that they arose after the Petition Date will be expunged from the claims register but not disallowed; such Claims may still be asserted and, if valid, will be satisfied in the ordinary course of business pursuant to Section 2.1 of the Plan.

Debtors reserve the right to object to the Untimely No Liability / Passthrough Claims on any other grounds that the Reorganized Debtors may discover or deem appropriate.

VI. NOTICE

Notice of this Objection will be provided to (i) holders of the Untimely No Liability / Passthrough Claims; (ii) the Office of the U.S. Trustee for Region 17 (Attn: Andrew R. Vara, Esq. and Timothy Laffredi, Esq.); (iii) counsel to the Creditors Committee; (iv) counsel to Tort Claimants Committee; (v) all counsel and parties receiving electronic notice through the Court's electronic case filing system; and (vi) those persons who have formally appeared in these Chapter 11 Cases and requested service pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Reorganized Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is required. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Reorganized Debtors to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request entry of an order granting (i) the relief requested herein as a sound exercise of the Reorganized Debtors' business judgment and in the best interests of their estates, creditors, shareholders, and all other parties interests, and (ii) such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

Dated: December 3, 2020

KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP

By: /s/ Peter J. Benvenuti
Peter J. Benvenuti

Attorneys for Debtors and Reorganized Debtors